			1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION		
3			
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERIC		
5	-vs-	Columbia, SC June 18, 2008	
6	KELVIN BERNARD BADGER,	KELVIN BERNARD BADGER,	
7	Defendant		
8			
9	BEFORE HON. MARGARET B. SEYMOUR		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE SENTENCING HEARING		
11			
12	APPEARANCES:		
13	FOR GOVERNMENT: HON	. W. WALTER WILKINS	
14		TED STATES ATTORNEY J.D. ROWELL	
15		istant United States Attorney 1 Main Street	
16		umbia, SC 29201	
17	P.C	ERT J. HARTE, ESQ. D. Box 1959	
18		en, SC 29802	
19	P.C	NN WALTERS, ESQ.). Box 1346	
20	Ora	ngeburg, SC 29116	
21			
22	Cer	IIEL E. MAYO, CSR, RDR tified Realtime Reporter	
23		Richland Street umbia, SC 29201	
24			
25	STENOTYPE/COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION		

1 THE COURT: Mr. Rowell? 2 MR. ROWELL: Good morning your Honor. The first case 3 is United States versus Kelvin Bernard Badger, criminal number 1:06-1254. Your Honor, Mr. Badger is present represented by 4 5 counsel, Mr. Harte and Mr. Walters. We're here for a 6 sentencing hearing. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Badger, you 7 may come forward with your attorneys. Mr. Badger, have you had 8 9 an opportunity to review the presentence report? 10 Yes, ma'am. DEFENDANT: 11 THE COURT: Mr. Harte and Mr. Walters, have you also 12 reviewed that report? 13 MR. WALTERS: Yes, ma'am. 14 MR. HARTE: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Are there any objections to the report? 16 MR. WALTERS: No objection, ma'am. 17 MR. HARTE: No. 18 There being no objections, as findings of THE COURT: 19 fact of this court for the purpose of sentencing, the court 20 finds that the custody minimum maximum sentence on count one is 21 ten years, count two is mandatory life. Supervised release on 22 count one is not more than three years and count two at least 23 ten years. Probation is prohibited. The fine on count one is 24 \$250,000 and count two \$8 million. The special assessment fee 25 is \$100 for count one and \$100 for count two.

The guideline provisions are as follows: The total offense level is 37, the criminal history category is six.

Probation is prohibited. The guideline range is life imprisonment with the statutorily required minimum sentence of imprisonment. When the statutorily required minimum term of imprisonment is greater than the applicable guideline range, which is 360 to life, the statutorily required minimum term of imprisonment becomes the guideline sentence, ten years of supervised release and a \$200 special assessment fee.

Any objection to the statutory or guideline range?

MR. HARTE: No objection, your Honor.

MR. WALTERS: No objection, your Honor.

MR. ROWELL: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: The court adopts the previously stated provisions. At this time I'll hear from the attorneys for Mr. Badger with regard to the sentence to be imposed.

MR. HARTE: Your Honor, my understanding is your Honor does not have any discretion in this matter and Kelvin will receive a life sentence. I will tell you that his family is here with him today. He and I have worked together I guess for well over a year on state charges as well as the federal adopted charges. He has been a pleasant young man, he's never given me the the least bit of trouble. I think he would do well if he didn't have this life sentence.

THE COURT: Anything from you, Mr. Walters?

4 MR. WALTERS: May it please the court, your Honor, of 1 course, my client inquired as to his incarceration. His family 2 3 is in Barnwell, and, of course, he indicated that they are near the facility located in Estill. 4 5 THE COURT: I beg your pardon? 6 MR. WALTERS: In Estill, South Carolina. 7 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Badger, do you have any statements that you would like to make on your behalf? 8 9 DEFENDANT: No, ma'am. THE COURT: All right. Is there anything from the 10 11 government? 12 MR. ROWELL: No, ma'am. 13 THE COURT: Is there anything else? 14 MR. HARTE: No, your Honor. 15 MR. ROWELL: No, ma'am. 16 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Badger, having calculated and considered the advisory sentencing guidelines, and having 17 18 also considered the relevant statutory sentencing factors that 19 are contained in Title 18 United States Code, Section 3553(a), 20 it is the judgment of the court that the defendant Kelvin 21 Bernard Badger is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau 22 of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of life. This term 23 consists of 120 months as to count one, and life as to count 24 two, these terms to run concurrently. These terms reflect the 25 statutorily required sentence pursuant to 21 United States Code

Section 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A) and 851, and the United States

Sentencing Guidelines Section 5G1.1(b). The guideline

provisions states that where a statutorily required minimum is

greater than the maximum of the applicable guideline range, the

statutorily required minimum sentence shall be the guideline

sentence. In this case, it is life.

According to the Fourth Circuit's decision in the United States versus Kratsas, the court finds that when a defendant is facing a sentence of life the court must apply the three-prong test articulated by the Supreme Court in Solem, recognizing that an extensive proportionality analysis is required in cases involving a life sentence.

Applying the Solem case's first prong, the defendant's offense was extremely grave because drug use is a pervasive, destructive force in American society. The quantity of drugs attributable to the defendant as well as his admission that he earned his living selling drugs reflects he was not merely a user or even a single distributor of the drugs, but was a major supplier of powder cocaine, crack cocaine, and marijuana, and that the defendant had discussed his plans to murder one of the investigators in the case for the purpose of eliminating him as a government witness.

Applying Solem's second prong, the court finds that a life sentence for a major drug violation is not disproportionate in comparison with other sentences under the

guidelines. Applying Solem's third prong, the court finds that a review of state statutes within this circuit disclose the existence of similarly severe sentences for drug cases of the magnitude involved in this case. This court has also compared this sentence with other sentences of this magnitude imposed by this court and finds that a life sentence without parole is appropriate in this case.

It does not appear that you have the ability to pay a fine; therefore, the fine is waived. You shall pay the \$200 mandatory special assessment fee, and this consists of \$100 each as to counts one and counts two.

If you are released from imprisonment you will be placed on supervised release for a term of ten years. This term consists of three years as to count one and ten years as to count two, these terms to run concurrently. Within 72 hours of your release from of the custody of the Bureau of Prisons you shall report in person to the probation office in the district to which you are released.

While you are on supervised release you shall comply with the mandatory and standard conditions of supervision that are outlined in Title 18 United States Code Section 3583(d), and you shall also comply with the following special condition: You shall satisfactorily participate in a substance abuse treatment program, to include urinalysis, as approved by the United States Probation Office.

The findings of fact of the presentence report are 1 adopted as the reasons and incorporated by reference. 2 3 Any objection to the form of the sentence? MR. ROWELL: Not from the government. 4 5 MR. HARTE: No, your Honor. 6 MR. WALTERS: No, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Badger, you are advised that a criminal defendant has the right to appeal a sentence in 8 9 certain circumstances, and you should discuss carefully with 10 your lawyers as to whether or not you are entitled to an appeal 11 in this case. With few exceptions, any notice of appeal must 12 be filed within ten days after judgment in your case is 13 entered. Do you understand that? 14 DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: All right. I will make a recommendation 15 16 that Mr. Badger be incarcerated at a facility that would place 17 him close to his family. Anything else? 18 MR. HARTE: No, your Honor. 19 MR. WALTERS: No, your Honor. 20 MR. ROWELL: No, ma'am. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MR. WALTERS: Thank you. 23 (Recess, 10:41 a.m.) 24 25

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Date: 2-6-09 s/ Daniel E. Mayo